

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,444	01/23/2004	Andrew Halliday	67641	7421
	7590 04/16/200 TABIN & FLANNER	EXAMINER		
120 S. LASALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			T	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		th/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/763,444	HALLIDAY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. Note: A strong the strong of the str			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 February 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-17 is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) 3-8 is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,9-13 and 18-22</u> is/are rejected	ed.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐					
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu					
Copies of the certified copies of the		eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International E					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	_•			

Application/Control Number: 10/763,444

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyd et al. in view of Sargent and Helbling.

There is disclosed in Boyd a beverage preparation system, comprising: a plurality of beverage cartridges 10 having code 30 written thereon; means 114, 116 for receiving one of the plurality of cartridges and means 110 for supplying an aqueous medium; a reader 130 for automatically interpreting the code; processing means 140 for creating specific brewing cycle based on the code; means 150 for automatically adjusting a temperature of the aqueous medium based on the code.

There is disclosed in Sargent a beverage cartridge including different means (foaming agents, filters, apertures) for producing foaming of the beverage

There is disclosed Helbling a user interface for initiating an operating cycle of a beverage preparation system.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide cartridges of Boyd with the foaming means taught in Sargent, in order to produce a foaming liquid beverage.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Boyd with the user interface taught in Helberg, in order to allow a user to make automatic selections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boyd et al.

There is disclosed in Boyd a beverage preparation system, comprising: a plurality of beverage cartridges 10 having code 30 written thereon; means 114, 116 for receiving one of the plurality of cartridges and means 110 for supplying an aqueous medium; a reader 130 for automatically interpreting the code; processing means 140 for creating specific brewing cycle based on the code; means 150 for automatically adjusting a temperature of the aqueous medium based on the code.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-8 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 28 February 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art fails to disclose a user interface where operation of the user interface is independent of the beverage type

Application/Control Number: 10/763,444

Art Unit: 1761

being dispensed. Such a phrase defines no structural arrangement which would be definitive over the prior art references. A function of how a device operates, in an apparatus claim, must be presented in terms of a means or structural limitation plus its function in order to be given patentable weight. Applicant argues that the prior art fails to disclose a memory for storing information about the beverage cartridges dispensed by the beverage preparation machine. Claim 9 recites that the memory stores information about the beverage cartridges "dispensed by the ... machine". It is the opinion of the examiner that the memory of Boyd, when it obtains information about the cartridges, does so with cartridges that are to be dispensed from the machine. It is not quite clear what applicant considers the claim language of claim 9 to be expressing.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

rla

12 April 2007

Reginald L. Alexander

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761